(Eng.)

Office-note

Has two years since the last order in this matter was passed has a report been received? If not an urgent reminder fixing some number of days should be issued at once and a peremptory order passed unless satisfactorily explained

19/4/99 [illegible]

Pet[n] No 1391 of 1895-96

Pet[r] — Tenk at Narasa Reddi Puthadu of Nellakatla & the Karnam Subayya of Krishnapatnam taluk.

Subject — Complaint against Nellakatla manager & peon of the above 3 villages

Last ref[e] — Sp. Comm[r]'s No 1507 of 28/4/97 submitting copies of certain correspondence

Pet[r] complained that the agent's conduct was insolent and dishonest towards the Ryots and requested that the rents might be in collection of the agent, be remitted to the Tank treasury; that the Ryots may not be made to pay receipts by the Taluk authorities & that a sum of 500 Rs due to Pet[r] from the agent may be caused to be paid by him (Annual No 54)

In a report long called for, the Sp. Comm[r] reported that Pet[r]'s complaint was an old

*
me and had been repeated
once before by Govt (Serial nos
59 & 49)

=
The 2nd Councillor thereupon directed
that the correspondence relating
to the taking up of Yachemanahalli
under Govt management as well
as the correspondence relating to
the appointment in 1876 of C-pet^r
as agent shd be put up (vide
separate slip)
The Correspondence not being
traceable in the Genl record
(beyond the records already put up)
the S.P.W Secy was written to
who no better result. The Dy
Comm^r who was then requested
to send copies of correspondence, has
now forwarded copies of 3 letters
but the originals of 2 of these
are already on the file & the only
new letter is the order of the
Dy Comm^r transmitting the
appt of C-pet^r as agent.
The substance is "You have
reported that out of the 60
petitioners, most of them with
the exception of a few are in favour
of appointing Venkatramaya
as agent & that you are also

L. R

(1895-96)

3395-96

0

149

Subject Complaint of ... Narayana Bhatta against the agent of mathadi re. villages Commandent by the Hemagiri Chennd

33.

Previous references.
Rev. Mis 24/S.R. 92-93

190

File No. ~~2285~~ S. 295-96

Serial Nos. 1 – 9

(~~Rev. Mis.~~)
LR

Later references.
Rev. Mis. 221/S/1897-98

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 – 9

Considered & Ordered

Part B. ~~for~~

SUBJECT.

Complaint of Venkatanarana Bhatta against the agent of Methalli & villages commanded by the Honagiri Channel

1. From Venkatanarana Bhatta Pet^n No. 390/1391 dt^d the 17.9.95
Complains that the agent has misappropriated a la sum of money due to him and prays that the amount may be ordered to be paid to him and the agent be ordered to do his duties properly in future or he be removed from the office
2. To the Dy Comr Mysore Pet^n 385 dt^d the 14.10.95
Forwards the above for report.
To the petitioner Venkatanarappa Endt^t No. 390/1391 dt^d the 12.11.95
Intimates the above
3. From the Dy Comr Mysore No. 30 dt^d the 20.2.96
Submits his report on the case together with the Honore Rock Sub-Divisional Officer's Letter No. 5 dt^d the 7.2.96 and its enclosures.
4. To the Secy P.W.D. No. 603 dt^d the 9.1.97
Requests the early forwardal of the entire proceedings connected with certain subjects.
5. G. R. S. 58
From the Chief Engineer No. 553 dt^d the 23.1.97
States that no records are available on the subject referred to
6. To the Dy Comr Mysore No. 607 dt^d the 30.1.97
Calls for certain records or if they are not available to state under what Govt Order the agent was ~~appointed~~

7. From the D.C. Coorg Mysore No. 1509 of the 3.4.97

Forwards copies of certain correspondence and informs that no Govt. order could be traced, regarding the appt. of the agent-

8. To the D.C. Coorg Mysore No. 2334 of the 7.5.97

Directs the Sub Divisional Officer of Fraserpet-Roche to thoroughly examine all records the accounts kept of these villages by the agent and to see that the duties of the agent have been efficiently and faithfully discharged

9. To Venkatramana Bhatta Amld No. 130 of the 11.5.97

Intimates the above order to him.

in favour of the same. I therefore
approve of the appointment
of Venkatramaya as agent
Security to the amount of 2000 Rs
should be furnished by him
& Govt (and Dar)
should periodically
see that he keeps the accounts
of the Villages and discharges
the duties efficiently"

As regard the taking up of
Pachamanahalli Village
under Govt management,
there's letter No 3453 & 28th January
PWD No 102
1867 from the Supt Ashtagram
Bn to the Secy & Chief Commr
recommending the taking
up of the Pachamanahalli
Channel under Govt
management has a corollary
the management of the
Village wh was expressly
granted for the maintenance
of the channel.

There are also copies of the letters
from the DC Supt of Hassan
Dt to the Supt of Ashtagram
Bn & to the Supt of Nagamangala
on the subject (all therein
to be separately printed)

4

Returning now to the pet^rs Complaint,
it seems necessary that his
complaint as regards the agency
of C-pet^r as regards Gachemana-
halli & the 12 other villages should
be treated ~~distinct~~ differently.
As remarked by the D/ Comm^r in his/
(Annal no 21), there seems the
no necessity for any agency for
the management of Gachemana-
halli village it being a single village
for purposes of collection, the Inamdar
receiving the surplus (if any) of the disposing,

In Go no 3793-3802 d 17/7/90

Cr 67

(Annal no 14). It was observed that
the management of the Inam village
was an important trust
In para 4 it was observed that
the omission to forward annual
Statements of receipt & expenditure
should be carefully guarded against
Whether the annual accounts
have since been properly
submitted will have to be
ascertained in the Rev Branch

2 As regards the 12 other villages,
the agency of C-pet^r was sanctioned
by the D/ Supt in exercise of
the power vested in him

11
in the absence of
the Inamdar, the
present Agent should
be removed from the
office of the Inamdar,
& he no longer has any
appointed duty to do
[illegible] Annual reports
[illegible]

This rights case
of Gachamanahalli.

under GO no 3237-19 d 29/9/71
(page 75 Rev Manual)
Para II of the Rules enjoins that
the agent should be required
to furnish all necessary
accounts to the Co-Sharers.
Any Govt interference beyond
enforcing the above ~~rule~~ is
not contemplated by the rule

From DC's letter no 998 d 9/3/96
(serial no 47), it appears that
the agent was not keeping the
accounts properly but that
no mandamus was issued
against him and that petr
had given no security

It was directed in GO no 650
Pet-241-92
d 10/7/94 that if petr
failed to furnish security
within a given time, he should be
removed & another be
appointed in his place.

~~From the Sub Dn Officer's letter~~ It is enclosed
~~Here is no~~ the ~~report~~ the DC's
report no 166 d 20/7/96
(serial no 59) to show that
~~security has still not been furnished~~. The DC
~~Collector~~, it appears that

5

Sufficient security has since been furnished by C-pet^rs and that the Mutasarrif except petn complaints against C-pet^rs (C-pet^r being a Mutasarrif himself, the order G. 1682, page 76 Regulations) does not seem legally [illegible] 52nd [illegible]

(Pet^n No 1989 dt 15/12/96 from the Mutasarrif Hajjeh for payment of the Expenses of the Inchemane Collection of Revenues has been transferred to Rev Council for Report)

RDS Am
22/4/97.

MJ 19/4/97

Considerable [illegible] in the matter the [illegible] Office of Revenue Rolls showed that they examined all the accounts kept of their village by the agent, & the rent collections have been promptly made and the money apprehended between the Mutasarrif without any detention, and in fact that the duties of the post have been efficiently and faithfully discharged. A report this having done so will be submitted before within 3 months. The petition will be referred with [illegible]

29/4(97) [illegible]

[illegible] MJ 30/4/97

N.B. If any reports [illegible] have been sent for [illegible] 30/4/97

Orders accordingly issued vide No. M. 93 dated the 7.5.97 P.T. 241-92

(52) (59)

Serial 1

1895

LR 3-95-11

No. 80/1891

Name of Petitioner, Venkatnarasa

Village, Bangalore

Taluk,

District,

Date of Arzi, 17th Sept 1895

Date of Receipt, 9th Oct 1895

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosures two

ABSTRACT.

Petitioner who is a brother in the Krishnarajpet
der of Melkalli Complai
that Venkatanarayya
agent to the brothers has
misappropriated a large
sum of money due to hi
(Petr).

Petitioner prays tha
the amount due to him
may be caused to be paid
and the said agent either
ordered to do his duties pro
ly in future or be remove
from the office.

DCH

Revenue Commissioner under remarks
calls Rep:— "to Deputy Commissr
for report" 9.10.95



ಮೈಸೂರು ಗ್ವರ್ಣಮೆಂಟ್ ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳವರ ಜ್ಞಾಪನಾರ್ಥಕ್ಕೆ.



ತುಂಗಳೂರು ಹುಂಬಳಗರ ಪೇಟೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ, ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜ ಜೋಯಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಸರ್ವಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನ ಗಾಮುಂಡ ವೃತ್ತಿವಂತ ವೆಂಕಟನಾರಣಭಟ್ಟನು ಬರದುಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿ.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನ ವಸ್ತ್ರದ 13 ಗಾಮುಂಡಗಳು ತ್ರಿಮೂರ್ತಿ ಮುಖಾರಾಯ ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜ ಒಡೆಯರ ಪಟ್ಟಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದ ಕೂಡಲ್ಲಟ್ಟು ಬಾಕ್ಸ್‌ಕ್ಷಾರಿಗೆ ಸರ್ವಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ನಡೆಯುತಲಿವೆ. ಸದರಿ ಗಾಮುಂಡಗಳನ್ನು ವೃತ್ತಿವಂತರಿಂದ ವೃತ್ತಿವಂತರಿಗೆ ಬರಬೇಕಾದ ವಿಚಿತ್ರ ಹಣವನ್ನು ವಸೂಲಾತ್ತಿ ಸರಿಯಾದ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ವೃತ್ತಿವಂತರಿಗೆ ಶಾಂತಿ ಮಾಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸರಿಯಾದ ಲೆಕ್ಕಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಮತ್ತು ಗ್ವರ್ಣಮೆಂಟಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದ ಹಣಕ್ಕೆ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರನಾಗಿರುವದಕ್ಕೂ, 1871 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಸೆಪ್ಟಂಬರ್ 28 ನೇ ವರ್ಷದ $\frac{5237}{19}$ ನೇ ನಂಬರು ಸರ್ಕ್ಯುಲರ್ ಮೇರೆಗೆ, ಗ್ವರ್ಣಮೆಂಟಿನವರು 1876 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ, 2,000 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಜಾಮೀನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ವೆಂಕಟರಾಮಯ್ಯನೆಂಬುವನನ್ನು ಸದರಿ ಗಾಮುಂಡಗಳಿಗೆ ಪಿಜಂಟಾಗಿ ನೊಕರುಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡರು. ಅವನಿಗೆ ಸದರಿ ಗಾಮುಂಡಗಳ ಬೈಕಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಮ್ಯಾನೇಜ್‌ಮೆಂಟಿನಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಮುದ್ಯಕ ಯೂಚಕಮಾನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಗಾಮುಂಡ ಹುಟ್ಟುವಳಿ ಬೈಕಿ ನಾಡಕಯಾಸಾ 15 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ತಲಬು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಮಾಡೀಪತ್ತು ಸಲ್ಲುತ್ತೆ.

2. ಸದರಿ ಪಿಜಂಟ್ ವೆಂಕಟರಾಮಯ್ಯನು, ತಾನು ಗ್ವರ್ಣಮೆಂಟಿನಿಂದ ನೊಕರುಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲ್ಪಟ್ಟವನೆಂದು ವೃತ್ತಿವಂತರಿಗೆ ವಿಧೇಯನಾಗಿ ನಡೆಯುವದಿಲ್ಲ. ಮತ್ತು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಬರಬೇಕಾದ ಹಣವನ್ನೆಲ್ಲ ಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಶಾಂತಿ ಮಾಡುವದಿಲ್ಲ. ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಮಾಡಿಯಾನಾ ಅವನಿಗೆ ತಲಬನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವದು ವಿನಾ ಮೇತ್ಯಾವ ತನಿಖೆಯನ್ನೂ ಮಾಡುವದಿಲ್ಲವಾಗಿ ಇವನು ಅವರಿಗೆ ತಾಬೇದಾರನಾಗಿರಬೇಕಾದ ಪ್ರಕೃತವೇ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಪ್ರಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಇವನು ವೃತ್ತಿವಂತರಿಗೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಿಗೂ ಕೂಡ ತಾಬೇದಾರನಾಗದೇ ಸುಮಾರು 10 ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಜವಾಬಿಂದಿಯುಳ್ಳ ಗಾಮುಂಡಗಳಿಗೆ ಮೊಕ್ತೇಸರನಾಗಿ ತನ್ನ ಮನಸ್ಸು ಬಂದಂತೆ ಕಾರ್ಬಾರು ನಡಿಸುತ್ತಾನೆ. ಡೆರ್ಪ್ಯುಟಿ ಕವಿಷನರವರು ಇವನ ಅಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲ ಪರಾಂಬರಿಸಿ ಇವನು ತನ್ನ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ನಡಿಸಿಲ್ಲವೆಂತಲೂ, ವೃತ್ತಿವಂತರ ಕ್ಷೇಮಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಇವನನ್ನು ಕೆಲಸದಿಂದ ವಜಾ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂತಲೂ ಗ್ವರ್ಣಮೆಂಟಿಗೆ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. (1898 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಜನವರಿ 6 ನೇ ನಂಬರು $\frac{11566}{\text{P. F. } 241-92}$ ನೇ ನಂಬರು ಗ್ವರ್ಣಮೆಂಟ್ ಪ್ರೊಸೀಡಿಂಗ್ಸನ್ನು ಪರಾಂಬರಿಸಬೇಕು.)

3. ಇವನು ಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನ ಗಾಮುಂಡ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಮರುಜಮೆಯೋಗ ಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಂದು ಸುಳ್ಳು ಲೆಕ್ಕ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ನಾನು 1885 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಅಗಾಲಯಿತು ಸಿರ್ಸ್ತೆದಾರಮಾಡಿ ಪಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ನ್ಯಾಯುಬೊರಿಯದೆ 1890 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಗ್ವರ್ಣಮೆಂಟಿಗೆ ಅಪೀಲ್ ಮಾಡಿದೆ. ಅಪೀಲು ಆರ್ಡರ್‌ಯನ್ನೂ ಅವರಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ಲೆಕ್ಕಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಾಂಬರಿಸಿದರೆ ಇವನ ಮರುಜಮೆಯೋಗವೂ ಅಕ್ರಮಗಳೂ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗುತ್ತವೆ. ಪ್ರತಿ ವರ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ವಸೂಲಾದ ಹಣವನ್ನೆಲ್ಲ ವೃತ್ತಿವಂತರಿಗೆ ಶಾಂತಿ ಮಾಡದೆ ಭಾರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗುಗಳನ್ನು ದೀರ್ಘಕಾಲ ತನ್ನಲ್ಲೇ ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡು ದುರಾಜನೆಯೋಗ ಪಡಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾನೆ. ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಲೆಕ್ಕ ಕೇಳಿದಲ್ಲಿ, ಇಕ್ಕಾಡಾದರೂ ಸುಂಟರಗಾಳಿ ಬಿಂದು ಪೆಡಿಂಗ್ ಕಛ್ಚಿಸಿ ಈ ಮೊಬಲಗುಗಳನ್ನು ಆ ಪೆಡಿಂಗಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಶೇರಸಿ ಲೆಕ್ಕ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತಾನೆ. (ಇದರೊಡನೆ ಪಾಜರಾತ್ತಾಡಿರುವ ಆ ಲೆಕ್ಕದ ಕಾಪಿಯನ್ನು ಪರಾಂಬರಿಸಬೇಕು.) ಹೀಗೆ ಅವನ ಅಕ್ರಮಗಳು ರುಜುವಾತಾಗಿದ್ದಾಗ್ಯೂ, ನನ್ನ ಮೇರೆದೃಷ್ಟವಿಂದ ಗ್ವರ್ಣಮೆಂಟಿನವರು ನನ್ನ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡದೆ ತಿರುಂಟನ್ನು ವಜಾಮಾಡುವದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು 1894 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಆಕ್ಟೋಬರ್ ತಿಂಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಇದರೊಡನೆ ಪಾಜರಾತ್ತಾಡಿರುವ ಆರ್ಡರ್‌ನನ್ನು ಆಪ್ಪಣೆ ಕೊಡಿಸಿದರು.

4. ಇಷ್ಟು ಆಕ್ಸಮಸ್ತಾತಾಗಿ ಈ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ವಿಚಾರಮಾಡುವದು ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟಿನವರ ಚಿತ್ತಕ್ಕೆ ಬಾರದೇ ಇದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ, ಸರಕ್ಕಾರಿ ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆಗಳ ಸೌಕರದಂತೆ ಇವನು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕಾಬೀದಾರನಾಗಿದ್ದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದು ಖಿಸ್ತುವೇರಿಗೆ ಕಂದಾಯವು ವಸೂಲಾಗಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಖಜಾನೆಗೆ ಇರಸತಾಲು ಮಾಡುವಂತೆಯೂ, ಇವನು ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಸ್ಕೂರೆ ತನ್ನ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ನಡಿಸುವಂತೆ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನವರು ಆಗಿಂದಾಗ್ಗೆ ತನಿಖೆ ಮಾಡುವಂತೆಯೂ, ಮತ್ತು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಮಾರೀಛತ್ತು ವೃತ್ತಿದಂತರಿಗೆ ಹಣ ಪಾವತಿಯಾಗುವಂತೆಯೂ ಆದರೂ ಹುಕುಂ ವಯುಮಾಡಿಸಿದರೆ ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟಿನವರು ವೃತ್ತಿದಂತರಿಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ವೃತ್ತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಂತೆಯಾಗುತ್ತೆ.

5. ನನಿಗೆ ಇದುವರೆಗೆ ಸುಮಾರು ೪೦೦ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಬರಬೇಕಾಗಿದ್ದಾಗ್ಯೂ ಎಷ್ಟಾವರ್ತಿ ಕೇಳಿದರೂ ಹಾವತಿ ಮಾಡದೆ ಇರುತ್ತಾನೆ. ಇವನ ಆಕ್ರಮಗಳಿಂದ ನನ್ನ ಜೀವನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಿಕ್ಕಟ್ಟು ಕುಂದಕವಾಗಿದೆ. ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟಿನವರು ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ನನಿಗೆ ಬೇರೆಬೇಕಾದ ಹಣವನ್ನೆಲ್ಲ ಕೊಡಿಸಿ ಮುಂದೆ ತಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಹಣ ಪಾವತಿಯಾಗುವಂತೆ ಹುಕುಂ ವಯುಮಾಡಿಸಿ ನನ್ನ ಜೀವನವನ್ನು ಕಾಪಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಬೇಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

17-9-95. ಇತಿ ಕಳಕಳಿಯಾಗಿ ಬಿನ್ನಹ

ವಿಚಾರ ದರ್ಪಣ ಮುದ್ರಾಕ್ಷರ ಶಾಲೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು. 15-9-95.

encl 6-3

No. 51

Sub-Division Office, French Rocks,
Dated French Rocks 13th July 1896.

From

The Sub-Division Officer
of French Rocks.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District.

Sir,

Adverting to your memo No. 11 of the 7th instant regarding the petition of Venkata naranabhatta, vrittidar of Karvamanya Melhalli village in the Krishnarajpet taluk, I have the honor to submit the following report.

The Inam village of Gachamanahalli was retained under Government management towards meeting the cost of repairs to the Hemagini channel.

The vrittidars of the Devambaagrahar which comprises 18 villages including Gachamanahalli were called upon to nominate an agent to manage the affairs of the Agrahar villages. They selected one Venkataramiah who is also a vrittidar. The Deputy

Commissioner of Kaisan appointed him agent of Gachamanahalli and other villages of the Agrahar and he still continues to be the agent. The present petitioner first charged this individual with criminal breach of trust in 1885. Mr. Srinivasiengar, 1st class magistrate, after going into the case dismissed the complaint. Again in 1886 he accused the agent with criminal misappropriation of certain moneys. Mr. Nanjundiah, 1st class magistrate, enquired into the case and held the complaint to be of a civil nature. The petitioner then moved the District Magistrate to order further enquiry and the latter instructed the Sub-Division Officer to examine the accounts of the village on the revenue side to ascertain whether the petitioner was defrauded by the agent as alleged. The Amildar who was directed to examine the accounts kept by the agent, found them confused and consequently got them newly prepared by the agent himself. Though the petitioner was then allowed to go through the accounts, yet he was unable to point out the

Draft 2
785
(56) (58) 14-10-95

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

LR
33-95-2

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM

The accompanying Petition No. 8 6
dated 1st Sept 1895 received at 13

Dewan's Office from Venkatanara
bhatta Vittidar of Sarvaman
Mechalli in the Krishnara
-pete Taluk complaining tha
Venkatanayya agent to the
Vittidars has misappropriat
large sum of money due to
the petitioner &c

is forwarded, together with its two
enclosures, to the Deputy Comm
of Mysore District for repo

2 The petition with its
enclosures should be return
-ed.

rder,

(Draft) 12/10/95

Revenue Secretary.

Revenue, Mysore,
12 Oct. 1895.

12/14.
C2

RLh
11/10

Rec'd 14/10
Rep. 16/10
Jmw 17

57 56

58
2

ನಂಬರ್. ಡಿ
D 1391



ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸ

ಸೀನಪ್ಪ ಹುಜಾರಿಗೆ ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿದ: ಕಂದಗಲ್ಲಾದವರಿಂದ ಪ್ರೊಬೇಷನರಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ

ಬೆಸ್ಟ್ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಖಾಲಿಯಾಗಿರುವ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್‌ಮಾಸ್ಟರ್ ಹುದ್ದೆಗೆ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಯಾಗಿ ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿ ಈಳಿಸಿರುವ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು 12 ನೇ ಮಾರ್ಚ್ 189 ನೇ ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ದಿನಾಂಕ

By Order,

Revenue Chief Secretary.

Mysore Government Central Press, Bangalore.—9-11-92—3,000.

File no 653
Rec-95

No. 106
Enclosures No. Petition with its 2 Enc. and one letter.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore 20th July 1896

LR
33-95-3

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Department

Spl. Cor. 1096 of the 2nd December 1895.
Cor. 1096 of the 30th March 1896.
Rel. 22 of the 6th July 1896 —

SIR,

Adverting to your official memo No. Spl. 785 dated the 12th October 1895 and reminder cited in the margin and in continuation of my letter No. 44 of the 2nd July 1896 stating that a reply to those references would be submitted on receipt of the French Rocks Sub Division Officer's report, I beg to state as follows:-

2. This reference is regarding the complaint of Venkatanarabaiah, a minister of the Amrutavarya village of Hullalli and 12 others in the Krishnarajpet Taluk, to the effect that Venkatasamaiya the agent of the petitioners has misappropriated a large sum of money due to him &c.

3. The said village and 12 others have been granted as Inam to certain Brahmins. They have appointed the accused individual as their agent to manage their villages -

No 578 D/Bangalore 28th January 1897

LR 33-95=5

From The Chief Engineer of Mysore

To The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore Genl & Rens. Depts.

In reply to his no. 6403 of 24-12-96 of 19/25 Instant, has the honor to state that no records are available in this Office on the subject therein referred to. —

Asst Chief Engineer of Mysore

20

submitted with the draft
docket order calling for
the papers

27.

(64)

REVENUE DEPART
GL. No. 4988
Rec'd 468
20 JAN 97
DEWAN'S OFFIC

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT.

No. 568

From

The Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Secy to the Govt of M
Revl & Rev. Dep

Dated Bangalore 28 January

Despatched

Enclosure *No.*

SUBJECT.

Stating that no record
referred to in General
-tary's no: 6403 of the
P.T. 241-92
idem are available
this office -

Return
20/1/97

The petitioner, who is one of the Vettidars, similarly complained some time ago that the agent had misappropriated some moneys collected by him, but as the enquiry made showed that that charge was not true, the Government, in order No. 650 P.T. 24-92 of the 13th July 1892, declined to remove the agent. The present complaint is a revival of the old one. No other Vettidar has complained but the petitioner, for his fresh cause accrued for the present complaint since the issue of the said order of Government.

A copy of the French Rocks Sub-Division Officer's letter No. 51 of the 15th July 1896, explaining more fully on the subject, is enclosed, as also the enclosures of the first of the references quoted above.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. servant,

Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District.

Compd.
Tilly. MM

amount said to have been misappropriated by the agent. Thereupon the petitioner was left to seek redress in competent courts, and the papers were submitted to the Deputy Commissioner. The petitioner, however, appealed to Government. In reporting the above the Sub Division Officer submitted that none of the witnesses, save the petitioner, complained against the conduct of the agent. Thereupon the Government passed order No. 650 P.F. 241-92 dated 19th-20th July 1894 declining to remove the agent.

The security bond directed in the order was obtained from the agent and the same kept in the custody of the Sub Treasury as directed in Deputy Commissioner's memo No. 1885/3 dated 4th April 1895.

The petition now under report does not specify any fresh charges than those that have already been enquired into and finally decided. Further, there have been no complaints against the agent from any one else but the petitioner.

The petition under report together with

its accompaniments is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be, &c.

To P. Panchanatha Rao

Sub Divisional Officer of French Rocks.

Copy.

[illegible]

Deputy Commissioner

of the Mysore District.

Office of the Superintendent
Ashtagram Division

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Dated Camp Karapur 17th Dec 1866

No 3002
93

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir I have the honor to append for
the Commissioner's perusal Copy of a
letter from the Deputy Superintendent,
Hassan district, together with Copies of
Enclosures on the Subject of the
Hemmegeray Channel, the matter
of repairing which, has for sometime
past been considered a different matter
for solution, as most of the lands
under the upper portion of the Chan-
nel is held under different forms
of Inam tenure, and the people who
hold these lands will do nothing to im-
prove the Channel, as they will therebe
simply ensure a supply to those who
lands are situated lower down
2. It would appear from Mr Dobbie's
part of the 23rd of last May and the
the Deputy Supts letter of the 12th
that the revenue of the Village of
Yachamanhally was formerly
apart to supply funds for the
of this Channel, but
re

Serial 4 (62) 18

1897

From The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore

LR
33-95-4

To The Secretary to the [illegible] D. A. W.

Dated 17th June /97
Despatched 25
Received
General No. 6403
P.F. No. 241-92

Enclosure No. 4

1. Letter No 6737 of 2nd April 1807 from the Secretary to the Chief Commissioner & the Superintendent of [illegible] with all the correspondence referring to the making of Yachamana [illegible] Channel under good management.

2. Correspondence connected with the appointment of Venkatramiah as Agent of Yachamanahalli in 1876.—

The Honour[able] requests that he will be so good as to forward at an early date the entire records connected with the subjects noted on the margin.

By order
[illegible]
for Secretary

Draft
[illegible]

Serial 5 (65)

2.

1897

From

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

LR
33-95-6

To

The Deputy Commr
Kolar

Dated 30th

Despatched 8th Feb: 1897

Received 10 Jan 97

General No. 6904

P.W. No. 241-52

(1223)

Enclosure No.

I have the honor to request that the papers noted in the margin may be forwarded to this office as early as practicable.

If the original papers are not traceable in the Deputy Commr is requested to state the No. and date of the Govt order nominating Venkataramaiya as agent of Yachamanahalli in the Attikuppe Taluk.

By order

for Secretary

(1) Correspondence regarding the taking up of Yachamanahalli in the Attikuppe Taluk by Govt management

(2) Correspondence regarding the appointment of Venkataramaiya as agent of Yachamanahalli in 1876

(86) Diary No 6703
Serial 7 Ref 608

No. 1504
Enclosures No. three

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore April 7th 1897.

LR
33-957

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

SIR,

With reference to your docket
No 15 of 94
dt 24.1.92 dated the 30th January 1897,
calling for certain papers in connection
with the petition of Venkatalinga
Chetty Pattidar of Sunkanapalya
Hobli in the Nanjangud Taluk
against the Agent of that village,
I have the honor to submit herewith
copies of the correspondence noted
in the margin regarding the taking
up of Venkatalinga Chetty under
Government Management.—
2. As Government order can be
traced regarding the appointment
of Venkatanarasiah as Shanbhog of
the Sunkanapalya including Venka
talinga Chetty only authority
available is order No 800 dated
5th February 1892 issued to the Amil
dar of Nanjangud by the Deputy

(1) Petition of Nos 2 & 3 dated 15th December 1896 from the Amildar of Nanjangud to the Deputy Commissioner
Report No 1503 dated 2nd March 1897 from the Secretary to the Amildar of Nanjangud

Deputy Commissioner of Mysore,
applying for the Agents Nomination.
A Statement Copy of their
order received from the Sub division
officer is herewith submitted for
information. ——

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient
servant

[illegible]
for Deputy Commissioner
Mysore district

Comps:
Nil.

Genl. No. 6935
P.W.D. 99

Madras Commissariat Office
Bangalore, 2nd March 1864

To
The Superintendent of the
Cantonment Division

Sir,

In acknowledging your No. 3002/93 of the 18th December 1863, I am directed to state that the proposal made by the Deputy Superintendent and advocated by yourself meets with the Offg. Commissioners approval in so far as it relates to the preparation of a detailed estimate for the carrying out of the repairs to the Hennegherry Channel and to the requisitions, the necessary authority, which have already been devoted to that purpose with a view to the same being applied in part liquidation of the Cost entailed upon Government by having to undertake the repairs.

2. In taking the above measure into account as against a moiety of the Cost, the Offg. Comr. is of opinion that as almost the whole advantage to be derived from repairing the Channel will fall to the share of the Inhabitants who hold by far the greater portion of the land along its banks, the latter ought

&c.

to the Collector upon to pay a fair and equitable proportion of the remaining moiety of the cost the Government paying in proportion to the whole of the land which is reclaimed may be brought under cultivation in the succeeding repairs being satisfactorily executed and the Inundation in proportion to the land which is irrigable or at any rate irrigated from the channel.

2. In case of default or refusal, their supply of water as obtained by them in consequence of the carrying out of the repairs should be summarily and peremptorily cut off

4. The apportionment of the charges might be left to an equitably constituted Panchayet in which the Government should be fairly represented.

I have &c.
(Sd) A. Hay
Secretary

Copied

Commissioner
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Comptr.
Ulr.

Serial 2 Draft [illegible]

Proceedings of the Govt [illegible]
Cuddapah

L R
33-95 Read

(1) Petition No 139 dated 17th September 1895 from Venkata Narasa Bhatta, Vrittidar of Sarvamanya Inchalli & 12 other villages in the Krishnarajpet Taluk complaining that Venkataramiah the agent of the Vrittidars has misappropriated a large sum due to him.

(2) Correspondence ending with Cuddapah Deputy Commissioner letter No 1509 dated 8th April 18, submitting copies of the letters regarding the taking up of Inchalli & other villages from the above Sarvamanya, under Government management in 1867, & the order of the Deputy Commissioner Kadapa District No 800 dated 5th February 1876 to the Amildar approving the nomination of Venkataramiah as agent

Read again

(3) Govt Proceedings No 650 Pet-7241-92 dated 20th August 18 declining to remove the agent on the grounds stated in the complaint brought by the present petitioner

~~Petition of [illegible]~~ (1658)

Order thereon:- No 9894 dt 7-5-97
P. [illegible] 261-92

It is desirable that during this
matter the Sub Division Officer
of French Rocks should
thoroughly examine all the
accounts kept of these villages
& the agent & see that collections
have been promptly made &
the money apportioned between
the Vrittidars without any
detention, and in fact
that the duties of the agent
have been efficiently and
faithfully discharged.
Government should
Report of his having done
so to be submitted within 3 months.

2. The petitioner is accordingly
referred to the Sub Division
Officer.

'Draft'
C 2

Secretary

C.S.K.
7/5/97

To
The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

75 76 [illegible] 28

[illegible]

1262 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 60 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 2000 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

27222

1124

Serial 9
M/339/95

11-5-97

LR
33-95-D

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

By Order.

Draft
Cm

[illegible]
Jt. Secretary

W.F.
7/5/97